

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		•	www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONCIDIONATIONAL
09/866,022	05/24/2001	Robert F. Wallace	M-10238-2C US	CONFIRMATION NO.
	90 10/03/2002			
SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP				
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 28TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
SAN FRANCIS	CO, CA 94111		POTTER, ROY KARL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N	9h
.		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/866,022	WALLACE, ROBERT F.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Roy K Potter	2822
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the second of the second	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	nely filed s will be considered timely.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
20\    This are a massa.		
/E3	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation of closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal matters, pro er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	osecution as to the ments is 53 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 37-63 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration	:
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ann nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-63</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Or election requirement	
4-1-1		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exami	iner
request that any objection to the	ne drawing/o) ha hald to a	
in the same are wing confection filed on	is: a)   approved b)   disapprove	ed by the Examinar
in real and wings are required in re	PDIV to this Office action	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	<b>1</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 119(a)-(	t) or (f)
ADD WILL SOME C) None of:		a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	No
or the prior	ity doores t - t	NO
"See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 110(a) (4	0. a provisional applications
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
_	, under 55 0.5.0, 99 120 and	J/OF 121,
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTC 5) Notice of Informal Paten 6) Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	— VI Oulei	

4.

Application/Control Number: 09/866022

Art Unit:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37 - 63 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 - 26 of U. S. Patent No. 6,040,622 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/866022

Art Unit:

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is

Page 3

covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as

follows:

The claims of both the patent and the application are directed to semiconductor memory

packages. The present application claims the package as a "memory card" while the patent claims

are directed to a "package" however structurally the two appear to be the same.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting

claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application

which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Roy Potter whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 4106.

Primkary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Potter

September 23, 2002